

June 20, 2000

Dear Sir,

In response to your request for comments be submitted to this June 20, 2000 Sacramento meeting I would make these observations.

When we have high water approaching the opening of the Weirs above Sacramento why does this flood elevation have to wait for a certain predetermined figure before the Weirs are opened?

If all the arithmetic says that the flood elevation is going to exceed this arbitrary elevation it would be most prudent to open the Weirs at that moment of realization instead of waiting for the magic elevation to arrive.

This waiting policy puts the whole lower Delta complex into a most stressful and unnecessary risk.

When the development above the Delta is allowed to encroach into the historic flood basin as it is now doing ,shouldn't a flood way be created to protect the Delta from this greater flood risk ,by creating a flood-way through Staten Island?

When Staten Island dumped a total of three barge loads of rock into the Mockulmne with no permits and on the objection of the Lower Cosumnes resource Conservation District the Corp gave no response, why is this not a violation of the permit process?

Also when Staten Island dumped rock also in the other fork of the Mockulmne below Whimpy's and the Corp asked for comments for a public meeting and the Lower Cosumnes Resource Conservation District responded in a formal manner to this request no action of any kind was taken.

I have noticed in flood fights that the Corp's engineers do not stay on a work site very long and seem to lack responsibility for how the job will stand the test of time.

We in the Delta are being told that with global warming that the ocean levels will rise and will our Delta levees stand this new threat to their stability?

The new report by the Department of the Interior on Land Subsidence mentions many of the escalating dangers to the Delta ,is the Corp going to take notice of these published facts?

Thank you,

Bill Shelton
95690

P O Box 144
Phone 916-776-1890

Walnut Grove, Cal

